The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte STEPHEN M. ANDERTON, RUURD VAN DER ZEE, and WILLEM VAN EDEN

> Appeal No. 2004-1723 Application 08/716169

NOV 3 0 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPFALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before HARKCOM, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and JEFFREY V. NASE, Administrative Patent Judges.

Per curiam.

On October 5, 2004, counsel for the appellants filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114. Pursuant to the notice entitled "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice," 65 Fed. Reg. 50092, 50095 (Aug. 16, 2000), and the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.114(d), a request for continued examination under 37 CFR § 1.114 filed after appeal has been taken, but prior to a decision on the appeal, "will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner."

Accordingly, the appeal in this application is dismissed.

Application 08/716,169

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkcom, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge

William F. Smith
Administrative Patent Judge

Jeffrey V. Nase Administrative Patent Judge BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Webb, Ziesenheim, Logsdon, Orkin & Hanson, PC 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818

dem